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OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

The objectives of this study are (1) to elicit trust, risk and time preferences of smallholder fruit tree farmers in eastern Rwanda using monetary incentivized experiments, and (2) to investigate key attributes or features of marketing contracts that are preferred by farmers using a discrete choice experiment (DCE). We investigate farmer preferences related to six hypothetical marketing contract attributes: sales mode, timing of payment, input/service provision, form of contract, relation to the buyer, and investment costs. To demonstrate the relation between trust, risk and time preferences and the adoption of marketing contracts, we couple these experimental data with the results from the DCE about farmers’ preferences for marketing contract attributes. We estimate a random parameters logit model, including interaction terms between contract attributes and behavioral preferences, to disentangle preference heterogeneity. Disentangling these behavioral preferences can give interesting insights on how contracts should be designed in order to meet farmers’ preferences. The results can also provide guidance for the fruit marketing development to ensure that smallholder farmers benefit from the full potential of their fruit trees.

DESCRIPTION OF EXPERIMENTS

a. Experiment on risk preferences

We measured individual trust, risk and time preferences using monetary incentivized experiments. At the end of the three experiments, one experiment was randomly selected to be played for real money to encourage participants to reveal their true preferences (Andersen, Harrison, Lau, & Rutström, 2006; Holt & Laury, 2002). Risk preferences were measured using the method developed by Eckel and Grossman (2002, 2008). This method was explicitly designed to be a simple way of eliciting risk preferences that allows enough heterogeneity in choices to estimate utility parameters. The method asks respondents to make only one choice. That is respondents are presented with a number of lotteries and are asked to choose one that they would like to play (Figure 1). Each of the lotteries, listed in Table 1, involves a 50 % chance of receiving the low payoff and a 50 % chance of the high payoff. One of the lotteries is a sure alternative. In this case, ‘Lottery 1’ with a certain payoff of 2,800 RWF. For ‘Lottery 1’ to ‘Lottery 5’, the expected payoff increases linearly with risk, as represented by the standard deviation. Note that ‘Lottery 6’ has the same expected payoff as ‘Lottery 5’, but with a higher standard deviation. The lotteries are designed so that risk-averse respondents should choose those with a lower standard deviation (‘Lottery 1’ to ‘Lottery 4’), risk-neutral respondents should choose the lottery with the higher expected return (‘Lottery 5’), and risk-seeking respondents should choose ‘Lottery 6’ (Dave, Eckel, Johnson, & Rojas, 2010).

Table 1. Design of risk experiment (in Rwandan franc)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lottery (50/50)</th>
<th>Low payoff</th>
<th>High payoff</th>
<th>Expected return</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Implied CRRA&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; range</th>
<th>Risk category&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.46 &lt; r</td>
<td>RA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>3,600</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1.16 &lt; r &lt; 3.46</td>
<td>RA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>4,400</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>0.71 &lt; r &lt; 1.16</td>
<td>RA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>5,200</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>0.50 &lt; r &lt; 0.71</td>
<td>RN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>3,600</td>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>0 &lt; r &lt; 0.50</td>
<td>RN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>3,600</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>r &lt; 0</td>
<td>RS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Coefficient of relative risk aversion.

<sup>b</sup> Risk category RA = risk-averse, RN = risk-neutral, and RS = risk-seeking.
### Experiment Methods

#### b. Experiment on time preferences

Time preferences were elicited with a simple money allocation task similar to the task developed by Angerer et al. (2015). In this experiment, respondents are endowed with 1,000 RWF and have to allocate money between two dates in time – ‘tomorrow’ and ‘in four weeks’. The money that is allocated to the later date, that is ‘in four weeks’, is doubled and paid out only four weeks after the experiment. The money that is allocated to ‘tomorrow’ is paid out tomorrow (Figure 2). The amount invested in the future is a simple measure of farmers’ future orientation and patience, without explicitly eliciting discount rates.

---

**Figure 1. Picture card of the risk experiment. Source: Authors.**
c. Experiment on trust

We also conduct a two-person binary version of the trust game (Berg, Dickhaut, & McCabe, 1995). Random pairs of respondents are formed and assigned the role of ‘sender’ and ‘receiver’. The ‘sender’ receives 1,000 RWF and has to choose whether to send any round amount between 0 and 1,000 RWF to the ‘receiver’ or to keep them. The money sent is then tripled by the experimenter. The ‘receiver’ then makes a decision using the strategy method. Accordingly, the ‘receiver’ is asked to decide whether, in the event that the sender sends some money, he/she would keep the money or split it evenly between himself/herself and the ‘sender’ (Figure 3).
d. Discrete choice experiment

We used a DCE to analyze farmers’ marketing preferences of fruit tree products. In a DCE, respondents are presented with alternative descriptions of a good, differentiated by their attribute levels, and are asked to choose one of the alternatives (Holmes & Adamowicz, 2003). In order to identify contextually relevant attributes and their levels, we conducted key informant interviews and focus group discussions with farmers during a preliminary field visit to the study area. Based on their feedback, we selected six attributes that they deemed important in a marketing profile with two to four levels (Table 2). The first attribute relates to the sales mode, namely individual marketing (i.e. payment for the quantity produced), and collective marketing (i.e. payment as share of total revenue). The timing of payment is the second attribute. The two levels are immediate payment (i.e. at delivery), and delayed payment (i.e. four weeks after purchase). As the third attribute we consider input/service provision and define four levels: none, inputs (seedlings, fertilizer), inputs (seedlings, fertilizer), and credit, and inputs (seedlings, fertilizer), credit, and training. The fourth attribute is the form of contract, either a written or no written contract. The fifth attribute concerns the relation to the buyer in three levels: buyer personally known, buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative, and buyer not known at all. The last attribute in the choice experiment is the investment cost, categorized in four levels: no entry costs, 10,000 RWF, 20,000 RWF, and 30,000 RWF.
Table 2. Overview of attributes and levels used in the choice experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Attribute levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sales mode</td>
<td>Refers to the mode of selling and payment system</td>
<td>1. Individual marketing (payment for the quantity produced)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Collective marketing (payment as share of total revenue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing of payment</td>
<td>Farmers can be paid cash on delivery or payment can be delayed</td>
<td>1. Immediate payment (at delivery)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input/service</td>
<td>Refers to input and/or service provision to alleviate the operating capital</td>
<td>1. None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>provision</td>
<td>constrains often faced by farmers</td>
<td>2. Inputs (seedlings, fertilizer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Inputs (seedlings, fertilizer), and credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. Inputs (seedlings, fertilizer), credit, and training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form of contract</td>
<td>Refers to the contract/agreement form</td>
<td>1. No written contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relation to the buyer</td>
<td>Refers to the relationship with the buyer</td>
<td>2. Written contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Buyer personally known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Buyer not known at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment costs</td>
<td>Corresponds to membership fees to become a cooperative member/ entry costs</td>
<td>1. None (no investment costs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. 10,000 RWF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. 20,000 RWF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. 30,000 RWF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The six attributes and their different levels imply a full factorial design with 384 ($4^2 \times 3^3 \times 2^1$) combinations. Theoretically, each unique combination of attribute levels represents a specific market profile. To produce a more manageable experiment, a d-optimal design was used to generate a subset of market profiles that covers the range of variability between all possible combinations (Hensher, Rose, & Greene, 2015). In total, 32 choice sets were included in our design. The choice sets were further subdivided into four subsets containing eight choice sets each. To reduce the response burden and to avoid fatigue, respondents were randomly assigned one of these four subsets, with an even number of households allocated to each of the subsets. A choice set consisted of two alternative market profiles (A and B) and a status quo (‘none of the market profiles’) option. The status quo option is provided because a respondent might not have a preference for either of the market profiles listed. Moreover, illustrations were included in the choice sets to increase respondents’ comprehension of the attributes and levels (Figure 4). Before conducting the DCE, we explained to the respondents that the drawings used hypothetical marketing profiles rather than real ones. The attributes and levels used were carefully explained. Respondents were also informed that the choices they made in the experiment would not have any immediate consequence. It was clarified that the results would be used more generally to better understand farmers’ preferences for particular characteristics of market profiles that may inform project design or future project implementation.
Option 1: Market Profile A
- Individual marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings)
- Written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- No membership fees/entry costs

Option 2: Market Profile B
- Collective marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- No input/service provision
- No written contract
- Buyer personally known
- Membership fees/entry costs: 20,000 RWF

Option 3: None of the Market Profiles

Figure 4. Example of a choice card. Source: Authors.
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### Choice card 1A

#### Option 1: Market Profile A
- Individual marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- No input/service provision
- No written contract
- Buyer personally known
- Membership fees/entry costs: 30,000 RWF

#### Option 2: Market Profile B
- Collective marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings) and access to credit
- Written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- Membership fees/entry costs: 20,000 RWF

#### Option 3: None of the Market Profiles

---

**Experiment Methods**
**Choice card 2A**

**Option 1: Market Profile A**
- Individual marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings)
- Written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- No membership fees/entry costs

**Option 2: Market Profile B**
- Collective marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- No input/service provision
- No written contract
- Buyer personally known
- Membership fees/entry costs 20,000 RWF

**Option 3: None of the Market Profiles**
**Choice card 3A**

**Option 1: Market Profile A**
- Individual marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings) and access to credit
- No written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- Membership fees/entry costs 10,000 RWF

**Option 2: Market Profile B**
- Collective marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings)
- Written contract
- Buyer not known at all
- Membership fees/entry costs 20,000 RWF

**Option 3: None of the Market Profiles**

**Option card 3A**
- RWF 20,000/Entry costs
- RWF 10,000/Entry costs
**Choice card 4A**

**Option 1: Market Profile A**
- Collective marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings)
- Written contract
- Buyer personally known
- No membership fees/entry costs

**Option 2: Market Profile B**
- Individual marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- No input/service provision
- No written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- Membership fees/entry costs: 20,000 RWF

**Option 3: None of the Market Profiles**
**Experiment Methods**

### Choice card 5A

**Option 1: Market Profile A**
- Collective marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- No input/service provision
- No written contract
- Buyer not known at all
- No membership fees/entry costs

**Option 2: Market Profile B**
- Individual marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings)
- Written contract
- Buyer personally known
- Membership fees/entry costs 30,000 RWF

**Option 3: None of the Market Profiles**
**Option 1: Market Profile A**
- Collective marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- No input/service provision
- No written contract
- Buyer personally known
- No membership fees/entry costs

**Option 2: Market Profile B**
- Individual marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings) and access to credit
- Written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- Membership fees/entry costs 10,000 RWF

**Option 3: None of the Market Profiles**
**Experiment Methods**

**Choice card 7A**

**Option 1: Market Profile A**
- Individual marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- No input/service provision
- Written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- Membership fees/entry costs 30,000 RWF

**Option 2: Market Profile B**
- Collective marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings) and access to credit
- No written contract
- Buyer not known at all
- No membership fees/entry costs

**Option 3: None of the Market Profiles**

---

RWF 30,000 / Entry costs
Option 1: Market Profile A
Collective marketing
Immediate payment (at delivery)
No input/service provision
No written contract
Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
Membership fees/Entry costs 10,000 RWF

Option 2: Market Profile B
Individual marketing
Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings)
Written contract
Buyer not known at all
No membership fees/entry costs

Option 3: None of the Market Profiles

Choice card 8A

Experiment Methods
## Experiment Methods

### Option 1: Market Profile A
- **Individual marketing**
- **Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)**
- **Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings)**
- **No written contract**
- **Buyer personally known**
- **Membership fees/entry costs: 10,000 RWF**

### Option 2: Market Profile B
- **Collective marketing**
- **Immediate payment (at delivery)**
- **Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings), access to credit, training**
- **Written contract**
- **Buyer not known at all**
- **Membership fees/entry costs: 20,000 RWF**

### Option 3: None of the Market Profiles

**Choice card 9B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 1: Market Profile A</th>
<th>Option 2: Market Profile B</th>
<th>Option 3: None of the Market Profiles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual marketing</td>
<td>Collective marketing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)</td>
<td>Immediate payment (at delivery)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings)</td>
<td>Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings), access to credit, training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No written contract</td>
<td>Written contract</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buyer personally known</td>
<td>Buyer not known at all</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership fees/entry costs: 10,000 RWF</td>
<td>Membership fees/entry costs: 20,000 RWF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RWF 20,000/Entry costs? RWF 10,000**
Choice card 10B

Option 1: Market Profile A
- Collective marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- No input/service provision
- No written contract
- Buyer not known at all
- No membership fees/entry costs

Option 2: Market Profile B
- Individual marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings, access to credit, training)
- Written contract
- Buyer personally known
- Membership fees/entry costs 20,000 RWF

Option 3: None of the Market Profiles
Choice card 11B

Option 1: Market Profile A
- Individual marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings), access to credit, training
- No written contract
- Buyer is personally known
- No membership fees/entry costs

Option 2: Market Profile B
- Collective marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings) and access to credit
- Written contract
- Buyer not known at all
- Membership fees/entry costs: 30,000 RWF

Option 3: None of the Market Profiles
Experiment Methods

Choice card 12B

Option 1: Market Profile A
- Collective marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings)
- No written contract
- Buyer personally known
- Membership fees/entry costs 10,000 RWF

Option 2: Market Profile B
- Individual marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- No input/service provision
- Written contract
- Buyer not known at all
- Membership fees/entry costs 30,000 RWF

Option 3: None of the Market Profiles

RWF 10,000/Entry costs
RWF 30,000
Experiment Methods

**Choice card 13B**

**Option 1: Market Profile A**
- Individual marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings), access to credit, training
- No written contract
- Buyer not known at all
- Membership fees/entry costs 10,000 RWF

**Option 2: Market Profile B**
- Collective marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- No input/service provision
- Written contract
- Buyer personally known
- No membership fees/entry costs

**Option 3: None of the Market Profiles**
## Experiment Methods

### Option 1: Market Profile A
- **Individual marketing**
- **Immediate payment (at delivery)**
- **Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings), access to credit, training**
- **Written contract**
- **Buyer personally known**
- **Membership fees/entry costs 30,000 RWF**

### Option 2: Market Profile B
- **Collective marketing**
- **Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)**
- **Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings)**
- **No written contract**
- **Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative**
- **Membership fees/entry costs 20,000 RWF**

### Option 3: None of the Market Profiles

### Choice card 14B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Market Profile</th>
<th>Marketing Type</th>
<th>Payment</th>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Buyer Known</th>
<th>Membership Fees/Entry Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Collective</td>
<td>Delayed</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Option 1: Market Profile A**
  - Membership fees/entry costs: 30,000 RWF

- **Option 2: Market Profile B**
  - Membership fees/entry costs: 20,000 RWF
Experiment Methods

**Option 1: Market Profile A**
- Individual marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- No input/service provision
- Written contract
- Buyer not known at all
- Membership fees/entry costs 20,000 RWF

**Option 2: Market Profile B**
- Collective marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings), access to credit, training
- No written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- Membership fees/entry costs 30,000 RWF

**Option 3: None of the Market Profiles**

Choice card 15B
Option 1: Market Profile A
- Collective marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings, access to credit, training)
- Written contract
- Buyer personally known
- No membership fees/entry costs

Option 2: Market Profile B
- Individual marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings)
- No written contract
- Buyer not known at all
- Membership fees/entry costs 20,000 RWF

Option 3: None of the Market Profiles

Choice card 16B

RWF 20,000 Entry costs
### Choice card 17C

#### Option 1: Market Profile A
- Individual marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings) and access to credit
- No written contract
- Buyer not known at all
- No membership fees/entry costs

#### Option 2: Market Profile B
- Collective marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- No input/service provision
- Written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- Membership fees/entry costs 30,000 RWF

#### Option 3: None of the Market Profiles
Option 1: Market Profile A
- Collective marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings)
- No written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- Membership fees/entry costs: 30,000 RWF

Option 2: Market Profile B
- Individual marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- No input/service provision
- Written contract
- Buyer not known at all
- Membership fees/entry costs: 10,000 RWF

Option 3: None of the Market Profiles
- RWF 10,000 Entry costs
- RWF 30,000 Entry costs
**Experiment Methods**

**Choice card 19C**

**Option 1: Market Profile A**
- Individual marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings) and access to credit
- No written contract
- Buyer personally known
- Membership fees/entry costs 30,000 RWF

**Option 2: Market Profile B**
- Collective marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings)
- Written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- Membership fees/entry costs 10,000 RWF

**Option 3: None of the Market Profiles**

- RWF 30,000/Entry costs
- RWF 10,000/Entry costs
**Option 1: Market Profile A**
- Collective marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- No input/service provision
- No written contract
- Buyer not known at all
- Membership fees/entry costs 10,000 RWF

**Option 2: Market Profile B**
- Individual marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings) and access to credit
- Written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- Membership fees/entry costs 20,000 RWF

**Option 3: None of the Market Profiles**

**Choice card 20C**

- RWF 20,000 Entry costs
- RWF 10,000 Entry costs
Experiment Methods

**Choice card 21C**

**Option 1: Market Profile A**
- Individual marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings), access to credit, training
- Written contract
- Buyer not known at all
- Membership fees/entry costs: 10,000 RWF

**Option 2: Market Profile B**
- Collective marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings)
- No written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- Membership fees/entry costs: 20,000 RWF

**Option 3: None of the Market Profiles**

- Choice card 21C: Option 3
- Membership fees/entry costs: 10,000 RWF
- Membership fees/entry costs: 20,000 RWF

---

30
Option 1: Market Profile A
- Collective marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings) and access to credit
- No written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- Membership fees/entry costs: 20,000 RWF

Option 2: Market Profile B
- Individual marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings)
- Written contract
- Buyer not known at all
- Membership fees/entry costs: 10,000 RWF

Option 3: None of the Market Profiles
- Choice card 22C
  - RWF 20,000/entry costs
  - RWF 10,000/entry costs
Option 1: Market Profile A
- Individual marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings), access to credit, training
- Written contract
- Buyer personally known
- Membership fees/entry costs: 20,000 RWF

Option 2: Market Profile B
- Collective marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings) and access to credit
- No written contract
- Buyer not known at all
- Membership fees/entry costs: 30,000 RWF

Option 3: None of the Market Profiles

Choice card 23C

RWF 20,000/Entry costs
RWF 30,000
**Choice card 24C**

**Option 1: Market Profile A**
- Collective marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings), access to credit, training
- No written contract
- Buyer not known at all
- Membership fees/entry costs 30,000 RWF

**Option 2: Market Profile B**
- Individual marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- No input/service provision
- Written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- Membership fees/entry costs 10,000 RWF

**Option 3: None of the Market Profiles**

Choice card 24C?

RWF 10,000/Entry costs

RWF 30,000/Entry costs
Experiment Methods

Option 1: Market Profile A
Collective marketing
Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings) and access to credit
Written contract
Buyer personally known
Membership fees/entry costs 10,000 RWF

Option 2: Market Profile B
Individual marketing
Immediate payment (at delivery)
Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings), access to credit, training
No written contract
Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
No membership fees/entry costs

Option 3: None of the Market Profiles
Choice card 25D
RWF 10,000 Entry costs
### Option 1: Market Profile A
- **Individual marketing**
- **Delayed payment** (4 weeks after purchase)
- **Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings), access to credit, training**
- **No written contract**
- **Buyer not known at all**
- **Membership fees/entry costs**: 20,000 RWF

### Option 2: Market Profile B
- **Collective marketing**
- **Immediate payment (at delivery)**
- **Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings) and access to credit**
- **Written contract**
- **Buyer personally known**
- **Membership fees/entry costs**: 30,000 RWF

### Option 3: None of the Market Profiles

---

**Choice card 26D**
Option 1: Market Profile A
- Individual marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- No input/service provision
- Written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- No membership fees/entry costs

Option 2: Market Profile B
- Collective marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings), access to credit, training
- No written contract
- Buyer personally known
- Membership fees/entry costs: 20,000 RWF

Option 3: None of the Market Profiles

Choice card 27D

Experiment Methods
**Choice card 28D**

**Option 1: Market Profile A**
- Individual marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings) and access to credit
- Written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- No membership fees/entry costs

**Option 2: Market Profile B**
- Collective marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings)
- No written contract
- Buyer personally known
- Membership fees/entry costs: RWF 10,000

**Option 3: None of the Market Profiles**

**Membership fees/entry costs**: RWF 10,000
Experiment Methods

**Choice card 29D**

**Option 1: Market Profile A**
- Collective marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings), access to credit, training
- Written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- No membership fees/entry costs

**Option 2: Market Profile B**
- Individual marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings) and access to credit
- No written contract
- Buyer not known at all
- Membership fees/entry costs 30,000 RWF

**Option 3: None of the Market Profiles**

Choice card 29D?

RWF 30,000 / Entry costs
Option 1: Market Profile A
- Collective marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings), access to credit, training
- No written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- Membership fees/entry costs: 10,000 RWF

Option 2: Market Profile B
- Individual marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings)
- Written contract
- Buyer personally known
- Membership fees/entry costs: 20,000 RWF

Option 3: None of the Market Profiles

Choice card 30D

Choice card 30D

Choice card 30D
Choice card 31D

Option 1: Market Profile A
- Collective marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings), access to credit, training
- Written contract
- Buyer not known at all
- Membership fees/entry costs 30,000 RWF

Option 2: Market Profile B
- Individual marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings) and access to credit
- No written contract
- Buyer personally known
- No membership fees/entry costs

Option 3: None of the Market Profiles
**Choice card 32D**

### Option 1: Market Profile A
- Individual marketing
- Delayed payment (4 weeks after purchase)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings)
- No written contract
- Buyer known by friends, relatives, or cooperative
- Membership fees/entry costs: 30,000 RWF

### Option 2: Market Profile B
- Collective marketing
- Immediate payment (at delivery)
- Inputs (fertilizer, seedlings) and access to credit
- Written contract
- Buyer personally known
- Membership fees/entry costs: 10,000 RWF

### Option 3: None of the Market Profiles

---

Experiment Methods